

Winter 1-18-2016

MENTORING: A STRATEGY FOR PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF LIBRARIANS IN NIGERIAN UNIVERSITIES

Nkechi, Anthonia Idoko
University of Nigeria Nsukka, nkechi.idoko@unn.edu.ng

Richard Ndubuisi Ugwuanyi PhD
university of nigeria nsukka, richard.ugwuanyi@unn.edu.ng

Ngozi Eunice Osadebe Mrs
University of Nigeria Nsukka, ngozi.osadebe@unn.edu.ng

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac>

 Part of the [Liberal Studies Commons](#), and the [Library and Information Science Commons](#)

Idoko, Nkechi, Anthonia; Ugwuanyi, Richard Ndubuisi PhD; and Osadebe, Ngozi Eunice Mrs, "MENTORING: A STRATEGY FOR PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF LIBRARIANS IN NIGERIAN UNIVERSITIES" (2016). *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)*. 1360.

<http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/1360>

**MENTORING: A STRATEGY FOR PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF LIBRARIANS
IN NIGERIAN UNIVERSITIES**

BY

Nkechi Anthonia Idoko (Ph.D)
Nnamdi Azikiwe Library
University of Nigeria Nsukka
nkechi.idoko@unn.edu.ng

Richard N.C.Ugwuanyi (Ph.D)
Nnamdi Azikiwe Library
University of Nigeria Nsukka
richard.ugwuanyi@unn.edu.ng

&

Osadebe, Ngozi Eunice (Mrs)
Nnamdi Azikiwe Library
University of Nigeria Nsukka
ngozi.osadebe@unn.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

This study investigated mentoring strategies in use for professional development of librarians in federal Universities in North-Central Nigeria. Descriptive survey research design was adopted. Population of the study was 107 professional librarians. Four research questions guided the study. Instrument for data collection were: structured questionnaire and an interview schedule. Data obtained were analyzed using mean ratings. Major findings include: informal mentoring strategy is the main strategy in use for professional development of librarians in these universities, many benefits accrue from mentoring, yet there are challenges affecting it. Suggested ways to combat these challenges were given. The implication of the study is that if formal mentoring is not adopted and put in use, professional development of librarians will not be met, research and publication will be hindered and institutional rating may be affected.

Keyword: Mentoring, Strategy, Professional Development, Librarians

INTRODUCTION

Background to the study

Information is power and a major asset to any individual that knows how to source, harness, organize and use it. It is in the realization of the significant role of information that academic libraries are established to provide information to their communities for teaching, learning, research and entertainment purposes. Libraries are repositories of information and knowledge from all fields of learning relevant to the academic community they serve (Ugwuanyi, 2011). There is therefore need for librarians in these libraries to continue to acquire knowledge and competencies that will enable them perform their functions effectively.

The library and information science study according to Pan and Hovde (2010), prepares librarians for the role of service provision. Yet, librarians may be less equipped through formal training for the scholarly demands of their own research and publication needs. The skills required to satisfy these demands are often times acquired on the job, especially with the support of experienced and versed librarians. Different institutions require that librarians pursue successful researches and publications for their promotion. According to Pan and Hovde, there is an official consensus that many librarians are ill-prepared to successfully complete this requirement. Thus, the need for mentoring librarians to become as savvy as the clients they serve. The most productive and effective way for librarians to keep up with these changes is to seek professional development opportunities.

Professional development is the continuous process of acquiring new knowledge and skills that relate to one's profession, job responsibilities or work environment (Spencer and Ard, 2006). It plays a key role in maintaining trained, informed and motivated employees regardless of job classification. Pan and Hovde (2010) also observe that it is a universal requirement of all librarians in order to keep up with the rapid changes in the library field and to maintain professionalism. There are varieties of approaches to professional development. These include consultation, coaching, and communication of practice, technical assistance, reflective supervision and mentoring (Richie & Genoni, 2008). This present study focused only on mentoring.

Mentoring in librarianship is a process of learning and development based on a personal relationship in which an experienced librarian called a mentor helps a new librarian called mentee to develop as a professional and achieve professional goals. A core feature that defines mentoring relationship and distinguishes it from other types of personal relationship is that it is a developmental relationship embedded within the career context. While learning, growth and development may occur in many different types of work and close personal relationships, mentoring relationships are unique because their primary focus is on career growth and development (Ragins & Kram, 2008).

For a new librarian, professional training begins from the moment he steps into the profession (Insala, 2013). Professional training and learning are required to be built on the existing foundation in order that new librarians can develop the depth of knowledge required over time. Mentoring a new and prospective professional provides an opportunity for both the new and the seasoned professionals to develop and refine the necessary skills to be successful in the diverse and rapidly evolving library and information profession.

Pan and I-Iovde (2010) observe that new hires, whether fresh from school with a recent curriculum or with the advantage of years of practical experience face and need to become familiar with the new institutional identity. An experienced librarian (a mentor) would choose to mentor a new librarian informally to be perfect in the different skills of practice. Mentoring can help the new librarian put theoretical knowledge into practice, apply generalized concepts to specific responsibilities and become familiar with given job situations. Mentoring is also used as gimmicks for professional socialization which encompasses processes and structures related to the workplace. Edwards and Hunchliffe (2009) believe that it is the process of internalizing the culture, values, beliefs, and norms of a profession and thereby becoming part of it.

Mentoring relationships play a vital role in professional development. Nwabueze and Ozioko (2012) observe that no institution can exist without older and more experienced members passing on wisdom acquired over years to new members. Mentoring has a long-standing tradition in many professions like law, medicine and business organization where practitioners also have academic appointment similar to librarianship (Kaufmann, 2009). According to Southeastern Library Association (2009), mentoring relationship is usually encouraged in order to provide a method of introducing and encouraging young librarians and other library personnel to work together. It also improves employee motivation, provides succession planning and also enhance network and learning about the profession. For mentoring relationships to be effective, strategies for professional development must be applied. Strategy according to Hornby, (2005) is a plan that is intended to achieve a particular purpose, while mentoring gimmicks are the forms of mentoring which are intended to expose librarians to various skills and aspects of librarianship for professional development.

There are two main views of a successful mentoring experience observed by Kutilck and Ernest (2001) and Spencer (2010). One is that mentoring is a dynamic informal relationship and the other is a formally structured mentoring programme that includes peer, group and electronic mentoring.

Informal mentoring refers to one-to-one relationship where selection is dependent on the personal choice of the mentor or the mentee. According to Sodipe and Madukoma (2013), it happens spontaneously based on mutual respect and rapport. It can occur when someone with more experience takes a special interest in the career of a less experienced colleague who he recognized as having

potentials or talent. It can also happen when a less experienced individual approaches an experienced senior colleague who he believes can help him gain new knowledge and skills. It is usually unplanned, unstructured and without the involvement of any organization. While a formal mentoring programme according to Ritchie and Genoni (2008) is a programme designed to facilitate mentoring relationships in an organization or a professional association. Mentees are systematically matched with mentors based on parameters set by administrators. The organization oversees and guides the mentoring programme in order to promote employee development. They are usually structured and have clear and specific goals and can be assessed. Mentoring programmes in academic libraries are usually specific and are closely tied to the librarian's career stage (Freedman, 2009). The underlying philosophy of any mentoring programme is to allow the mentees find the best in themselves, live up to their personal visions and enhance their potentialities and skills.

Since it has been established from literature that mentoring is all about the transmission of knowledge and skills, especially the process that supports professional learning and skills development which is usually between more experienced individual who is willing to learn and share his professional and personal skills and experiences with a less experienced individual who is also willing to learn and grow in the same profession and that mentoring relationships can play a vital role in professional development, there is therefore need to determine mentoring gimmicks necessary for professional development of librarians, mentoring programmes through which the librarians can excel professionally, benefits of mentoring among librarians; challenges to effective mentoring among librarians and the appropriate gimmicks to overcome mentoring challenges.

Statement of the problem

Mentoring relationships are typically supportive of individuals' learning as they create a safe learning environment. Ideally, norms that are supportive of individuals' existence are consciously reinforced by mentoring in all its various forms. From reviewed literature, Hilbum, (2007), Cooke, (2011), Ezeani, Eke and Ugwu (2012), Cheorg (2008), Adoye and Popoola (2011), Bell (2009), Ozioko, Nwabueze and Igwesi (2013), newly employed librarians in university libraries have challenges in getting to know their expectations, routines, standards and organizational culture. It was discovered that early career librarians face challenges in areas of assimilation, isolation, work satisfaction and stress. Causes of these challenges could be inexperience, uncertain about their expectations, nervousness, etc. They therefore need assistance e.g. mentoring to perform their roles toward the achievement of corporate organizational goal. The extent to which the corporate goal of an organization is achieved depends on the professional capability and activities of each member. It is believed that librarianship skills are best learnt on the job. Yet, competencies and service delivery of new and mid-career librarians are not as effective as it should be in some university libraries. It has also been observed that library school education is considered inadequate to successful prepare

academic librarians for their academic citizenship. Thus, the need for learning opportunities situated in practice. The panacea to these challenges could be mentoring. Through the application of mentoring strategies in university libraries, integral components of developing competencies for new and mid-career librarians can be achieved. Mentoring is also ideal for providing librarians with the required skills for research and publication needed for their promotion and career growth, thus this study.

Purpose

The purpose of the study was to: find out different mentoring strategies in use for professional development of librarians, ascertain benefit of mentoring among librarians, determine challenges to effective mentoring of librarians and determine different strategies to overcome mentoring challenges amongst federal university librarians in Nigeria.

Four research questions guided the study. They are:

- 1) What are the mentoring strategies in use for professional development of librarians in university library?
- 2) What are the benefits of mentoring librarians in federal university libraries in Nigeria?
- 3) What are the challenges to effective mentoring of librarians in federal university libraries in Nigeria?
- 4) What are the strategies to be adopted to overcome the challenges of mentoring librarians?

Significance of the study

The findings of this study are of immense significance to: the university management, library school curriculum planners, library administrators, library staff and researchers.

The university management will benefit from the findings as it will enable them take decisions and encourage the establishment of mentoring relationships in the university libraries. Such relationships will enhance staff training internally thereby saving resources.

Curriculum planners in library and information science will also benefit from the findings and they may include scholarly demands of librarians in research and publication needed for their career growth in library school curriculum. Library administrators will also benefit as the findings will highlight the need to create an environment that will enhance development of trusting professional relationships among staff. This will engender increased competencies and healthy work environment.

Libraries staff will benefit from the results of the study as it will enable them define their specific goals and expectations in librarianship, enable them have equal access to more experienced librarians

and it will also provide valuable information to researchers for further studies on mentoring and professional development of librarians.

Scope of the Study

This study examined mentoring strategies in use for professional development of librarians in federal universities in North-Central Nigeria (Abuja Federal Capital Territory, Benue, Kogi-Kwara, Niger, Nasarawa and Plateau States): it was restricted to finding out the various mentoring strategies that are in use, benefits of mentoring among librarians, challenges. Only academic librarians formed the study population. North-Central Nigeria was chosen for the study because it has the highest number of federal universities in the country.

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

To become successful and advance professionally, librarians must develop a network of people with career open doors. They should connect to people who can be important resources in satisfying greater career advancement and less conflict at work. This can be achieved through mentoring. According to USOPM (2008) and Spencer (2010), there are three variables in the concept of mentoring. These are the mentor, the mentee and the mentoring process. A mentor has been defined by Freedman (2009) as an individual with more experience and knowledge who is committed to providing upward career guidance and advice to a less experienced individual. While a mentee is usually a novice in the field, described by Spencer (2010) as a partner, protégé or participant in the mentoring process. Mentoring process according to Spencer (2010) is the development of a relationship between the mentor and the mentee.

Mentoring Strategies for Librarians: There are various mentoring strategies that exist in different types of library organizations which can take various dimensions depending on the structure, function and consent. Sodipe and Madukoma (2013) categorized these strategies into two: **traditional** form which is informal in nature and the **formal** mentoring which assumes different forms such as: peer, group and electronic mentoring.

Traditional or informal mentoring refers to one-on-one or face-to-face mentoring relationship where selection is dependent on the personal choice of the mentor or the mentee according to Sodipe and Madukoma (2013). Ritchie and Genoni (2008) observe that informal mentoring exists outside the boundaries of an official mentoring programme. In this, the pairing process relies completely on a process of natural selection. They further note that, although it is an unstructured programme, it provides an organized context. It also allows the individual participants to decide the terms of their relationships and the activities they will undertake. It is unstructured and focuses on building a relationship between the mentee and the mentor with whom they have personal connection or social

network. Formal mentoring takes place when the relationship is facilitated by the organization or professional association. In the view of Asadu (2010), Mentees are systematically matched with mentors based on parameters set by administrators who have little personal knowledge of mentors and mentees. Ritchie and Genoni (2008) observe that, formal mentoring is based on structured programme that has an organized context. It gives participants the procedures and guidelines with which to conduct their relationships. Formal mentoring is classified into peer, group and electronic forms.

Peer mentoring is a designed form of mentoring relationship for librarians which occurs when professional colleagues who have similar developmental needs come together in a mutually supportive, instructive and complementary partnership. USOPM (2008) views peer mentoring as a relationship with individuals within the same grade, organization, and/or job series. The purpose of peer mentoring is to support colleagues in their professional development and growth to facilitate mutual learning and to build a sense of community.

Group mentoring is another mentoring strategy that exists among librarians for their professional development. According to Asadu (2010), it takes place when more than a pair of individuals come together in a group in which one or more members of the group provides support or direction to others. Group mentoring as observed by Ritchie and Genoni, (2008) brings together a number of individuals under the guidance of one or more experienced group leaders or facilitators for a particular purpose. The authors also refer to it as co-mentoring. It is intended that the individuals, who are at a similar stage of learning or have related learning needs will form a supportive learning group. Zachary (2000), observe that there are two main types of group mentoring, facilitated group mentoring and team mentoring. Facilitated group mentoring allows a number of people to participate in a learning group and to benefit simultaneously from the experience and expertise of a mentor or mentors. The richness of the experience multiplies as each group participants bring personal experience into the conversation.

Electronic or online mentoring is another form of mentoring strategy that exists for librarians. E-mentoring is employed according to Phillips-Jones (2009) when face to face communication, interactions and sharing of knowledge and expertise is difficult because of multiple locations or virtual offices. According to Hamilton and Scandura (2003), it is the process of using electronic means as the primary channel of communication between mentors and mentees. E-mentoring is particularly useful in large library systems as observed by Wilson, Gaunt and Tehrani (2009) in that it allows partnering relationships to span across branches, departments, or even towns. Its greatest advantage is the ability to overcome geographical barrier.

Professional development for librarians: Professional development according to Cinkir (2007) is a process of developing self-awareness, competence in and better understanding of professional roles

and tasks. It is a broader concept relating more to ongoing positive changes in people's professional capabilities and careers. Eke (2011) refers to professional development as skills and knowledge attained for both personal development and career advancement. Megginson (2006) defines professional development as encompassing all that improves one's ability to succeed in a field. Megginson also notes that it can take place through conference sessions, formal courses, web casts, mentoring or networking.

Professional development for university librarians fulfills a need for continuing the acquisition of knowledge and competencies that have not been met by formal education (Pan. & Hovde, 2010) It is an essential part of librarians' professional success and career growth. Ugwuanyi and Ejikeme (2011) posit that librarians need to continue to learn, to update and to refresh their knowledge to prevent the onset of professional alienation in performance and to also adjust to the culture and change prevalent in the new information environment. Mentoring provides the structural and attitudinal context in which continuing professional development can occur in a number of ways. The qualities as described by Ritchie and Genoni (2008) include:

1. It updates a person's education (makes an individual's education comparable to that of persons receiving similar degree or certificate at the present time).
2. It allows for diversification to a new area within a field.
3. It assumes that the individual carries the basic responsibility for his or her own development.
4. It involves education activities that are beyond those considered necessary for entrance into the field.

Thus, professional development is concerned with equipping individuals with the skills, access to information and training that enables such individual to enhance the performance of his duties as it is the dream of every professional to improve on his job in a bid to achieve self-satisfaction.

Benefits of Mentoring Librarians: Despite challenges, mentoring relationship can prove a highly effective and enjoyable developmental tool for the mentee and mentor alike (Brewerton, 2010). Mentoring motivates and engages high-potential staff and the most crucial employee in any organization. According to Lee (2009), it is the high-potential employee who provides the knowledge and experience to the younger ones. As such mentoring enhances human resources management skills in an organization. Mentoring relationship is mutually beneficial for the mentee, mentor and the organization. In discussing the benefits of mentoring for the mentee McKimm, Jollie and Hatter (2007) outline the following: It develops learning opportunity, gives analytical and reflective skills, develops organizational and professional knowledge, and reinforces self-confidence and willingness to take risks, offers ability to accept criticism, accelerates professional development, reduces reality

shock, encourages ongoing learning and development, identifies learning opportunities in the work situation and offers individualized or one-to-one teaching and opportunities for experiential learning.

Mentoring Challenges in Libraries: Many factors militate against effective mentoring of librarians in Nigerian Federal Universities. Some factors may come from the mentors, mentee or the organization. No matter where they come from strategies for combating these challenges should be proffered for professional development of librarians. Ugwuanyi (2011) identifies some challenges to successful mentoring relationships in libraries as: (a) Wrong choice of mentors: mentors are chosen without due consideration of career goal or interests, aptitude and attitudes of the mentee. In this case, the effectiveness of the programme will be challenged, (b) Setting behavioural goals: Goals comprise broad objectives, which need to be broken down into objectives that are specific, measurable and achievable. Without specific objectives, it becomes difficult to assess or measure the extent to which the broad goals have been attained.

(c) Mentee's inability to open up during interaction. When this happens mentees fail to interact and operate at required frequencies. As these types of mentoring behaviours are emitted both parties loose direction and achieve less (d) Unconstructive criticism from mentors to their mentees instead of encouraging them: this kills inventiveness as it dampens the zeal and spirit of self-discovery. Under this condition the mentees go into desperation and despondency.

Adeniji and Adeniji (2010) looking at mentoring challenges in university libraries opine that the absence of academic culture in any university library, mentoring for research and publication will be affected. Academic culture according to Adeniji and Adeniji entails unbridled flair for research regular in-house seminars, healthy rivalry among the academic personnel, sincere desire to share knowledge and ideas. Where these conditions are lacking, mentoring cannot thrive. Again, if the library administration is the type that does not believe in grooming new employees, mentoring will not succeed. Brewerton (2010) posits that as with any staff development programme there will always be challenges which include: inability to keep to plans, the mentee becoming too dependent on the mentor for all decisions, development of inappropriate emotional feelings as a result of the close nature of the relationship as well as professional jealousy from colleagues.

Strategies for overcoming mentoring challenges: Goldman (2011) remarks that mentorship problems can be avoided with training and clear expectations for both mentors and mentees. According to Robbelot, Eng and Weiss (2013), there is no magic formula for successful mentoring, but many authors describe the traits of successful mentoring to mentor-mentee pairing. The importance of communication is noted by Adeniji and Adeniji (2010), Ugwuanyi (2011) and Fermlink (201). Osif (2008) urges mentees to be open and honest about what they are looking for or trying to achieve. Lack of information can stall projects as well as the development of the relationship between

mentor and mentee (Goldman 2011). Neyer and Yelinek (2011) note that good interpersonal and solid professional skills are equally important and suggest encouraging more experienced librarians to mentor by providing continuing education. Neyer and Yelinek, further state that the ‘most effective mentoring relationships were based on taking time for the relationship, sharing interests and having mutual respect for each other’. Goldman (2011) also suggests that mentors and mentees should have reasonable time for commitment expectations mutual respect and willingness to listen and learn from each other.

Robbert Eng and Weiss (2013) posits that good communication from management, clearly defined roles and responsibilities, a reward system and keeping goals measurable for assessment purposes can enhance the success of a formal mentoring programme. For Fermlink (2013), mentors and mentees can avoid complications in their relationships by clearly identifying boundaries, being clear about time constraints, giving the best times to be reached, having preferred communication methods and continually evaluating how well the purpose and goals of the mentoring relationship are met. Ugwuanyi (2010) identifies some strategies for overcoming mentoring challenges to include: (1) Mentors should be ready and quick to give information, support, feedback, ideas and contacts to their mentee. They should not hoard knowledge. (2) Mentees should bring to their mentors well-conceived and articulated topics for discussion at every meeting. This hastens comprehension and makes for easier discussion, (3) Mentors should be trained. This is necessary for the mentoring programme to achieve desired objectives. The mentors should have a good knowledge of what to expect in the programme and be able to help the mentees to acquire necessary skills for their career growth. (4) Mentees should be willing and ready to open up at every meeting with mentors. This enables the mentors to empathize with the mentees and be able to actualize the goals of mentoring.

In the same view, Brewerton (2010) cited Parsloe and Wray (2008) he offers seven strategies to ensure that mentoring relationship will remain a success. These are:

1. Success comes mostly from doing simple things consistently
2. Make sure you meet-Busy mentors do not always find the time to meet their mentee
3. Keep it brief-Generally, meetings should be between 30 and 75 minutes long
4. Stick to the basic process-Follow basic meeting rules
5. Develop the task, not tell habit
6. Remember, it’s all about learning
7. Expect to gain-It is not only the mentee who will benefit; it should be a win-win situation and the mentor should acknowledge that.

From the foregoing, it can be synthesized that overcome mentoring challenges have to do with proper planning, understanding, implementation and evaluation of the relationship. Good communication and

interpersonal skills, professional skills, having mutual trust and respect, willingness to listen and learn from each other can also enhance mentoring relationships.

METHODOLOGY

Descriptive survey design as well as interview schedule were adopted for this study. The study was conducted in federal university libraries in North-central Nigeria which is made up of seven states namely; Abuja Federal Capital Territory, Benue, Kogi, Kwara, Niger, Nasarawa and Plateau states. This zone has the highest number of federal universities in the country. The population for this study consisted of 107 librarians who include university librarians, deputy university librarians, principal librarians, senior librarians, librarian I & II in the university libraries under study. No sampling was done since the population is manageable. All the librarians (107) in these University libraries were involved in the study.

The data required for this study was collected through an interview schedule and a structured questionnaire titled 'Mentoring Strategies for Professional Development of Librarians' (MSPDL). The instrument has two parts (A & B). Part A was used to collect demographic information of the respondents while part B is made up of four clusters, each cluster represented one research question. Data collected was analyzed using weighted mean and ranked accordingly. A higher value indicated a positive response than a lower value. The mean points is 2.50 (1+2+3+4 – 10/4 – 2.5). Items to the values 2.50 and above were given positive interpretation (agreed) while items to the values below 2.50 were interpreted negatively (disagreed).

RESULTS

Table 1: Mean (x) Scores of Librarian on mentoring strategies in use for Professional Development

S/N	Items	Mean	Remarks
	Less experienced librarians willingly seek advice and guidance from experienced librarian at any time? (informal mentoring)	3.71	Agreed
	Experienced librarians willingly advise and guide and the less experienced ones as the need arises? (informal mentoring)	3.57	Agreed
	Librarians belong to online forums such as Linked-in, which help them to share ideas? (E-mentoring)	3.24	Agreed
	Experienced librarians share professional ideas online with colleagues in other libraries? (E-mentoring)	3.12	Agreed
	Less experienced librarians seek advice and guidance online from professions colleagues in distant libraries? (E-mentoring)	2.55	Agreed
	Experienced librarians collaborate on professional issues like agenda, share information on conferences, seminars and workshops? (Group mentoring)	2.54	Agreed
	Less experienced librarian are paired with experienced ones for professional development? (Group mentoring)	2.52	Agreed

	Less experienced librarians with similar needs come together to dialogue on issues affecting them? (peer mentoring)	2.51	Agreed
	Management organizes mentoring for newly employed librarians (Group mentoring)	2.50	Agreed

As shown in Table 1, the respondents agree that the nine mentoring strategies listed were used for their professional development but some are more in use than others. The result indicates that informal mentoring, which is usually unplanned, unstructured and without the involvement of any organization is the main strategy in use for professional development of librarians in Nigerian federal universities. This is in line with the observations of Sit (n.d), who observed that informal mentoring is widely practiced in academic libraries among all levels of staff because the practice is essentially one of apprenticeship. It happens spontaneously based on mutual respect and rapport. It allows the individual participants to decide the terms of their relationships without organizational input. However, the result reveals that experienced librarians share professional ideas online with colleagues in other libraries. The finding is in line with the current trend in librarianship. The result further reveals that formal, group and peer mentoring strategies are not fully in use for professional development of librarians in the libraries under study. This is in line with the findings of Robbelot, Eng and Weiss (2013), which showed that different mentoring strategies are available to librarians for their professional development in the United States. The disparity between the two findings could probably be the geographical locations.

Table 2: Mean (x) scores of the respondents on benefits of mentoring librarians:

S/N	Items	Mean	Remarks
1.	Improves team work and cooperation in the library	3.51	Agreed
2.	Off communicate opportunity with senior colleagues	3.43	Agreed
3.	Accelerates career advancement in librarianship	3.42	Agreed
4.	Enhances learning culture in the library	3.42	Agreed
5.	Gives greater knowledge of career success factors	3.39	Agreed
6.	Provides incentive to keep up-to-date with professional developments in librarianship	3.39	Agreed
7.	Provides for succession planning in the library	3.38	Agreed
8.	Helps to establish a connection o senior colleagues and build peer librarians network	3.37	Agreed
9.	Enhances collaboration between senior and junior librarians	3.36	Agreed
10.	Enables the mentor to understand barriers experienced at lower levels of the library work	3.34	Agreed
11.	Develops the habit of trust and confidentiality in the library	3.34	Agree
12.	Mentors use the opportunity to pass on their skills to others	3.33	Agree
13.	Helps in the successful induction into the work of the library	3.28	Agree
14.	Helps to extend lifelong leaning among librarians	3.26	Agree
15.	Increases job satisfaction	3.16	Agree

In table 2, the respondents agreed that all the 15 possible benefits of mentoring were accruable to librarians in federal university libraries as the mean ratings were all markedly above 2.50 acceptance criterion. The findings reveal that mentoring improves team work and cooperation in the library, accelerates career advancement in librarianship and enhances learning culture in the library. This result is consistent with the findings of Anagbogu and Nwokolo (2012) which affirmed that mentoring relationships have increased the skill acquisition of those that were mentored and has helped them to develop an understanding of the organizational culture. The findings are also in lien with that of Sodipe and Madukoma (201) which showed that academic librarians that were mentored attained career goals, higher income and possess new skills.

Table 3: Mean (x) scores of the respondents on the challenges to effective mentoring

S/N	Items	Mean	Remarks
1.	Lack of adequate facilities required for e-mentoring of librarians	3.44	Agree
2.	Unconstructive criticism by the mentor to the mentee	3.30	Agree
3.	Broken confidentially by both mentor and the mentee	3.24	Agree
4.	Lack of sincere desire to share knowledge by the mentor	3.24	Agree
5.	Inability of both the mentor and the mentee to keep to the goals and objectives of the relationship	3.19	Agree
6.	Inability of the mentee to open up during interaction	3.18	Agree
7.	Absence of mentoring orientation in the practice of librarianship	3.16	Agree
8.	Exploitation of the mentee by the mentor	3.15	Agree
9.	Development of inappropriate emotional feeling by the mentor or the mentee	2.47	Disagree
10.	The mentee becoming too dependent on the mentor	2.37	Disagree
11.	Time constraint due to work pressure	2.34	Disagree

Mean responses on the challenges shown in Table 4 indicates that nine out of eleven possible challenges to mentoring enumerated were endorsed by respondents as affecting the mentoring of librarians in federal university libraries in Nigeria. These challenges are listed in descending order in the table 3. However, the respondents disagreed, that insubordination on the part of the mentee or mentees becoming too dependent on the mentors as well as development of inappropriate emotional feeling by mentors or mentees pose challenges to effective mentoring in the libraries studied.

Table 4: Mean (x) scores of the respondents on ways to overcome mentoring Challenges

S/N	Items	Mean	Remarks
1.	The mentor and the mentee should have mutual trust and respect for each other	3.57	Agree
2.	There should be willingness to listen and learn from each other	3.55	Agree
3.	Organized of constant training for both mentors and mentees	3.53	Agree
4.	There should be clearly defined roles and responsibilities	3.45	Agree
5.	The mentor and the mentee should have good interpersonal and professional skills	3.45	Agree
6.	Boundaries and time for the relationship should be clearly defined	3.39	Agree
7.	Library management should be involved in the mentoring process	3.35	Agree
8.	The objectives and expectation of the mentoring relationship should be specific and clear	3.34	Agree
9.	Undue advantage to be avoided from the mentor	3.33	Agree
10.	Insubordination from the mentee should be prohibited	3.32	Agree
11.	Incentive should be given to mentors	3.1	Agree

The mean responses on the ways to overcome mentoring challenges as shown in Table 5 indicate that respondents agreed that all the 11 strategies listed would help in overcoming mentoring challenges among librarians in federal university libraries. These include: organization of adequate training for both the mentor and mentee, existence of mutual respect and trust between those in mentoring relationship, willingness of the mentor and mentee to learn from each other and development of good interpersonal and professional skills are suggested ways of overcoming challenges to effective mentoring. Other suggested ways are: defining roles and responsibilities clearly' and establishing good communication with library management in the mentoring process. This regard agrees with the observations of Osif (2011). These authors have separately concurred that the factors listed in this section provide ways to overcome mentoring challenges.

Conclusion

Based on the analysis and interview results of this study, the conclusions below are drawn:

Informal mentoring which is face-to-face, one-to-one relationship where selection is dependent on personal choice of the mentor or mentee is the strategy mainly in use for professional development of librarians in the universities under study. Formal, peer and group mentoring strategies are rarely used. The findings proved that mentoring is beneficial to the mentee, mentor and the organization as it improves team work, cooperation in the library and accelerates career advancement in librarians. Numerous challenges to mentoring are all related to the attitude of the mentee, mentor, library management and lack of mentoring orientation in the practice of librarianship. With adequate training, good interpersonal and professional skills by both the mentee and the mentor, good communication

from the library management among others are the suggested ways to overcome mentoring challenges in university libraries.

Implication of the study

The implication of this study is that if formal mentoring strategy (peer, group and electronic mentoring) is not urgently adopted and put in place by university management in these universities under study, professional development of librarians will not met, job performance will be adversely affected, career success and self-esteem will also be hindered. Thus, contribution to knowledge through research and publications will be stalled leading to low institutional rating.

Recommendations

1. Formal mentoring should be encouraged by university administrators to enable librarians at any career stage to identify their own needs and seek help that best suit their individual needs.
2. Orientation programme on mentoring and its benefits should be frequently organized by libraries and professional associations. This will enhance employee development through skill acquisition and consequently ensure career success.
3. Concerted effort should be made to sensitize both the mentors and the mentees in changing their attitudes towards mentoring relationships for the benefit of the profession.
4. Finally, mentors and mentees should be given adequate training by individual library administrators to alleviate mentoring challenges.

References

- Adeniji, M.A & Adeniji, S.E (2010). Mentoring in academic institutions. Proceedings of second professional summit on Information Science and Technology (PSIST), Nsukka, 397-402.
- Adeoye, M. O. & Popoola S.O. (2011). Teaching effectiveness, availability, availability and use of library and information resources among Teaching Staff of School of Nursing in Osun and Oyo state, Nigeria. *Library Philosophy and Practice*. Retrieved from <http://unlib.uni.edu/Lpp/>.
- Aina L. (2004.). *Libra y and Information Sciences; Text for Africa*. Ibadan: Third world Information services.
- Anagbogu, M. A. & Nwokolo, C. (2012): Preparing for research mentoring in Nigerian Colleges and Universities. In Obiozor, W. E. (ed), *Practical Issues in Education, Science and Public Initiatives in Africa; Lessons from Nigeria*, 2 (1), 242-250.

- Asadu, B. U (2010). Mentoring as a tool for re-skilling the 21st century Librarians. Proceeding of second professional summit on Information Science and Technology (PSIST) Nsukka, 307-312.
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Social Learning Theory*. New Jersey: Prentice —Hall.
- Bell, S. J. (2009). Online or out the door; continuous learning for academic librarians in economics downturns. *Library Issues*, 29 (5), 98-111.
- Brewerton, A. (2010). Mentoring. In *Liber quarterly*, 12, 361-380. V.
- Cheong, C. F. (2008). Librarianship; What is about now? LAS Conference; Innovate to Serve. Retrieved from <http://www.educause.edu/educatingthenetgen/>.
- Cooke, N. A. (2011). Professional development 2.0 for librarians; developing an online personal learning network. Retrieved from <http://conference.ifla.org/> 77.
- Edwards, M.B & Hinchliff, L.J (2009). The role of coaching and mentoring in attracting recruits and socializing entrants to the profession. Retrieved from www.ifla.org/edwards-hinchliffe.ppt.
- Ehrich, L. C. (2013). Developing performance mentoring handbook. Retrieved from <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/84>].
- Eke, H. N. (2011). An empirical study of the impact of Nigerian Library Association Conference Attendance on Librarians Professional Development. In Ama. L. O. (ed.) *Strategies for National Development*. Nigerian Library Association; University Press, 118-131.
- Ezeani, C.N., Eke, H.N. & Ugwu, F. (2012). Professionalism in library and information science: trends, needs and opportunities in academic libraries in South East Nigeria. In L.O Ama, & I. Mabawonku, (eds), *Nigerian Library Association at 50: promoting library and information science profession for National Development and Transformation*. Nigeria Library Association; University Press, 52-70.
- Fermlink, R. A. (2013). Mentoring; the concept. Retrieved from <http://msjlib.wordpress.com>.
- Freedman, S. (2009). Effective mentoring. *IFLA Journal*, 35 (2), 171-182. Retrieved from <http://.Sagepub.com/Doi:10.1177/0340035209105672>. -
- Ginkir, S. (2007). Current issues about professional development and training; concepts and approaches. *Journal of World Applied Sciences*, 2(4) 266-275.
- Golden, J. (2012). Mentoring as career strategy for mid- level public library managers: A selective review of literature involving methodology and cross- disciplines. *Library Philosophy and Practice*. Retrieved from <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/841>.
- Goldman, C. (2011). First year library mentorship opportunities. *Urban Library Journal*, 17(1), 1-11.
- Hamilton, B. A. & Scandura, T. A. (2003). E-mentoring; implication for organizational learning and development in wired world. In *Organizational Dynamics*, 31(4), 388.- 402.
- Hilbun, J. & Akin, L. (2007). E-mentoring for libraries and librarians. *Texas Library Journal*, 83 (1) 28-32.

- Hornby, A.S. (2005). Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary of Current English. (7th ed.); New York, Oxford University Press. <http://www.coachingnetwork.org.uk/ResourceCentre/WhatAreCoaching>
- Insala, E.O (2013). Benefits of mentoring programme. Retrieved from file:///c:/Documents and settings/Administrator/Desktop/mentor.
- Neyer, L. & Yelinek, K. (2011). Beyond boomer meets next gen; examining mentoring practices among Pennsylvania academic librarians. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 37(3)215-221.
- Nwabueze, A. U. & Ozioko, R. E. (2012). Mentoring in library and information Science. *Delta Library Journal*, 6 (1&2), 19- 24.
- Osif, B.A. (2008). Successful mentoring programs: examples from within and without the academy. *Journal of Business and Finance Librarianship*, 13(3), 335-347.
- Ozioko, R. E., Nwabueze, A. U. & Igwe, U. (2013). Mentoring as a strategy for team building and management in university libraries in South East Nigeria. In *Nigerian Libraries*, 46 (2) 132-145.
- Pan, J. & Hovde, K. (2010). Professional development for academic librarians; needs, resources and administrative support. *Chinese Librarianship; an international Electronic Journal*. Retrieved from <http://www.iclc.us/cliej/cl29pHpdf> .L>.-.
- Phillips-Jones, L. (2009). The essentials o mentoring groups, rings and circles. Retrieved from www.mentoringgroup.Com. ;
- Ragins, B. R. & Kram, K. E. (2008). The roots and meaning of mentoring. Retrieved from <http://msjlib.wordpress.com>.
- Ritchie, A. & Genoni, P. (2008). Mentoring in professional associations:. continuing professional development for librarians. In *Health Libraries Review*, 16(4), 216-225.
- Robbeloth, H., Eng, A. & Weiss, S. (2013). Disconnect between literature and libraries; the availability of mentoring programmes for academic Librarians. *Journal of the New Members Round Table*, 4 (1), 68-76.
- Sit A. (n.d.). Capitalizing knowledge; mentorship among teacher librarians in Hong Kong. Retrieved from <http://www.educase.edu>.
- Sodipe, O. D. I. & Madukoma, E. I. (2013). Mentoring and career success of academic librarians in selected universities in Ogun State, Nigeria. In R. Abdulsalami, & Z. Mohammed (eds.), *Future Nigerian Libraries; Infinite Possibilities*, 131- 144.
- Southeastern Library Association (2009). Mentoring programme. Retrieved from <http://Selaonline.org/membership/mentoring.hmt>.
- Spencer, B. & Ard, A. R. (2006) Nurturing new careers; preparing future librarians for their careers through pre- professional development sessions at the university of Alabama libraries. *Electronic Journal of Academic and special Librarianship*7 (2), 1-10.
- Spencer, C. (2010). Mentoring made easy; a practical guide. Retrieved from <http://www.eco.gov.au/careers/mentor.htm>.

- Ugwuanyi, C.F & Ejikeme, A. N. (2011). Awareness of the expected skills sets and development required by the new, era librarians in academic libraries in South Eastern Nigeria. In Ama, L. O. (ed.) *Strategies for National Development*. Nigerian Library Association; University Press, 102-117.
- Ugwuanyi, R.N.C. (2011). Mentoring as a tool for capacity building in libraries. *Nigerian Library Link*, a journal of Library and information Science, 9 (1&2) 97-1 05.
- United States Office of Personnel Management (USOPM) (2008). Best practices; mentoring. Retrieved from [www. opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/t...](http://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/t...)
- Wilson, M.C., Gaunt, M. I. & Tehrani, F. (2009). Mentoring programmes in U.S. academic libraries; a literature review. In J. Varlejs & J. Walton (eds.) *Strategies for Regenerating the library and Information Professions: IFLA Publication 139*; 84-95.
- Zhang, S.L., Deyoe, N. & Matveyeva, S. (2008). From scratch; developing an effective mentoring programme. Retrieved from [http://www.Whiteclouds. com/iclc./chej/d242om.htm](http://www.Whiteclouds.com/iclc./chej/d242om.htm).